

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/789,909 | 02/27/2004 | Karapet Ablabutyan | 23451-042 | 8918 | |
| 36614 7 | 590 07/24/2006 | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| | HELPS AND PHILLIPS | GREENHUT, CHARLES N | | | |
| ROBERT D. B 1001 PAGE M | ECKER ILL ROAD, BUILDING 2 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| PALO ALTO, | CA 94304 | | 3652 | - | |
| | | | | _ | |

DATE MAILED: 07/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| | 10/789,909 | ABLABUTYAN ET AL. | | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | Charles N. Greenhut | 3652 | | |
| | | | | |

| | Charles N. Greennut | 3652 | |
|---|---|--|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 23 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | LLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods: | n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o | Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI | g date of the final reject | on. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exampler 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The approprially set in the final Off | iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | hs of the date of ne appeal. Since |
| 3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a | onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); tter form for appeal by materially re | TE below); | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | | (PTOL-324). |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5 and 7-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | nd sufficient reasons why the affida | vit or other evidence i | s necessary and |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S | eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the property of the prope | | | |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet. | | | 2 |
| | SUDED | EILEEN D. LILL | .IS |

EILEEN D. LILLIS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600 Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant argues that claims 1-5, 7-13 and 15-20, as amended, define patentable subject matter. Further search and/or consideration is necessary to make this determination because of the additional limitations presented. Applicant argues that newly presented claims 21-23 define patentable subject matter. Further search and/or consideration is necessary to make this determination because of the additional limitations presented.